TRANSITAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)			Docket No. 112701-066
In Re Application Of: Ballevre et al.			
Serial No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Group Art Unit
09/508,635	May 18, 2000	D. Lukton	1653
Title:  ORGAN SPECIFIC NUTRITION  CONTROLL  ORGAN SPECIFIC NUTRITION  CONTROLL  ORGAN SPECIFIC NUTRITION			
To the Assistant Commissioner for Patents:  Transmitted herewith is:  Response to Restriction and Election of Species Requirement (2 pages); and Return Receipt Postcard.			
as described belo ☐ Charge th ☑ Credit an	is required.  nount of is attached.  mmissioner is hereby authorized to chow. A duplicate copy of this sheet is endered amount of any overpayment.  any additional fee required.	narge and credit Deposit.	
Robert M. Barrett (30,14) BELL, BOYD & LLOYD P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, IL 60690-1135 Tel: (312) 807-4204 Fax: (312) 827-1270	Signature 2)	l certify that this on 11/29/00 first class mail und Assistant Commis 20231.	s document and fee is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as der 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the issioner for Patents, Washington, D.C.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

Ballevre t al.

ATTY. DOCKET NO.:

112701-066

SERIAL NO.:

09/508,635 A 254 254 254

**GROUP ART UNIT:** 

1653

FILING DATE:

May 18, 2000

**EXAMINER:** 

D. Lukton

TITLE:

"ORGAN SPECIFIC NUTRITION"

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

ER 1603/2900

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

SIR:

Please enter the following Response to Restriction and Election of Species Requirement in the above-identified patent application.

## **REMARKS**

This Response is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on November 7, 2000. The Office Action states that Applicants election filed on October 27, 2000 was non-responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed on September 29, 2000. In this regard, the Patent Office states that Applicants failed to elect the necessary species.

An interview was conducted between Applicants' undersigned attorney and the Examiner regarding the election of species requirement. Pursuant to the interview, the election of species requirement was modified. The Patent Office states with respect to the modification: